

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

United States of America,

Case No. 3:16 CR 516

Plaintiff,

ORDER RESCHEDULING
SENTENCING HEARINGS

-vs-

Alan Andrew Beauchamp, et al.,

March 17–19, 2021

Defendants.

JUDGE JACK ZOUHARY

This Court believes it is in the interest of public health and safety that the sentencing hearings, unfortunately, be rescheduled yet again. On November 19, Special Order No. 13-18 addressed “Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic” and cancelled jury trials through December. With the recent rise in infections, coupled with the inability of the U.S. Marshal Service to guarantee that individuals sentenced in December would be timely placed in a BOP facility, the sentencings (Doc. 1565) are rescheduled for **March 17–19, 2021**. The individual cases will be heard on that Wednesday through Friday in the same order as they were scheduled on December 2–4.

Wednesday, March 17

8:45 AM	Wilton Burt (4)
10:15 AM	Iris Forrest (18)
1:15 PM	Shawn Henry (13)
2:45 PM	Jackson Jacob (8)

Thursday, March 18

8:45 AM	Douglas Sung Won (9)
10:15 AM	Mrugeshkumar Kumar Shah (14)
1:15 PM	Michael Rimlawi (10)
2:45 PM	Wade Barker (3)

Friday, March 19

8:45 AM Frank Gonzales, Jr. (16)
10:15 AM David Daesung Kim (11)
1:15 PM Israel Ortiz (17)
2:45 PM Alan Beauchamp (1)

This Court recently asked counsel to update information on the respective Defendants. Any updates are due no later than **January 7, 2020**. That deadline also applies to any supplemental filings addressing Objections to the Presentence Report, in particular, Objections to the Guidelines calculation. To the extent a Defendant is already on the record in either the PSR Addendum or a later filing, no repetition is necessary -- indeed it is discouraged. Only if a Defendant has a new argument or a new fact, which is not otherwise disclosed in previous filings, is a filing welcomed.

Prior to the March hearings, this Court will make rulings in those cases where this Court finds the record sufficient to resolve Objections. This means, practically speaking, that the hearings in March will be focused on how Section 3553(a) applies to a particular Defendant, and any pending motions to vary or depart.

Also, given that Defendants each will have had more than a year out on bond pending their respective sentencing hearings, Defendants should not expect this Court to look favorably upon requests to self-report. Rather, when the March hearings take place (if they do), Defendants should be prepared to surrender to the Marshals to begin any prison sentence.

Finally, pending is a Motion to Continue the December Sentencing Hearings (Docs. 1579, 1588) and the Government's Response (Doc. 1581). These Motions are denied as moot. This Court has already taken into consideration and weighed not only the health concerns of all involved, but also, as the Government describes it, "the need for finality in this case." This Court believes, and hopes, that this current extension is the final one.

To the extent the Government or a Defendant believes that certain hearings will involve witness testimony, they should identify those witnesses and the general nature of their testimony, by **December 10, 2020**, in order for this Court to adjust the hearing times as appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Jack Zouhary
JACK ZOUHARY
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

November 23, 2020